UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 15-cr-20106

٧.

HON. GEORGE CARAM STEEH

ARTHUR BERRY, JR. (D-7),

Defendant.

ORDER STRIKING DEFENDANT'S PRO SE MOTION TO DISMISS INDICTMENT [ECF Nos. 759, 761]

On March 18, 2020, defendant Arthur Berry, Jr. filed a pro se motion in which he asks the Court to dismiss the indictment for violation of his speedy trial rights (ECF No. 759). The government filed a motion to strike defendant's pro se motion to dismiss (ECF No. 761).

Since defendant was and is represented by counsel, filing a pro se submission demonstrates his attempt to proceed in a "hybrid" fashion. See McKaskle v. Wiggins, 465 U.S. 168, 183 (1984). Although the Sixth Amendment guarantees defendants the right to conduct their own defense by representing themselves, see Faretta v. California, 422 U.S. 806 (1975), the right of self-representation does not include the right to proceed in a hybrid manner, see McKaskle, 465 U.S. at 183 ("Faretta does not require a

trial judge to permit 'hybrid' representation "); see also United States v. Mosely, 810 F.2d 93, 97-98 (6th Cir. 1987) ("[T]he question whether to allow a defendant to participate in his own defense along with counsel in 'hybrid representation' is a matter committed to the sound discretion of the trial court.").

The Court, in the exercise of its discretion, will not permit defendant to proceed in a hybrid manner. Therefore, the Court hereby grants the government's motion and strikes defendant's motion to dismiss. Any relief sought by defendant must be sought through counsel.

So ordered.

Dated: September 14, 2020

s/George Caram Steeh **GEORGE CARAM STEEH** UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Copies of this Order were served upon attorneys of record on September 14, 2020, by electronic and/or ordinary mail and also on Arthur Berry, Jr, Midland County Jail, 101 Fast Ice Drive, Midland, MI 48642.

> s/Brianna Sauve Deputy Clerk